

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspko.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,710	07/07/2003	David H. McFadden	7944 EXAMINER	
759	90 08/18/2005			
DAVID A. BOLTON			COCKS, JOSIAH C	
1103 Concord A Southlake, TX			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			3749	

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				7P		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V -		
•		10/614,710	MCFADDEN, DAVID H.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Josiah Cocks	3749			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of third d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
Status			·			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01.	<u>June 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the men						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims	•				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n.	•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)🖾	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	• •		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-15	2.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	received in this National Stage	•		
•	application from the International Bure					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.			
Attach	***					
Attachmen	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Theories	Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Раре	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/1/05</u> .	6) Other:	 ·			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Receipt of applicant's amendment filed 6/01/05 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4-6, 8, and 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,058,924 to Pool, III et al. ("Pool") in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,327,279 to Guibert ("Guibert") (previously cited).

Pool discloses in Figures 1-4 an invention similar to that described in applicant's claims 4-6, 8, and 10-20. In particular, Pool shows a cooking oven with a flow means in the form of a blower (24) circulating and gas, and a gas directing means (30). Pool further discloses that thermal means for heating the gas and notes that possible means are electric heating elements and microwave/electromagnetic sources (see col. 4, lines 52-54 and col. 6, lines 6-8). While Pool does not explicitly recite the use of a sheathed heater or a gaseous fuel heater, these types of heaters are well known in the art and would be included in the disclosure of heating means by Pool. Accordingly, OFFICIAL NOTICE is taken as to the existence and use of these well-known types of heaters in the cooking oven art.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,710

Art Unit: 3749

Pool also discloses a control means (e.g. thermal sensor regulating heating means) (see col. 4, lines 55-58) and an egress opening (60) including a catalyst (62). The oven is operable as a speed cooking oven and recycling oven (note Abstract).

Pool does not appear to disclose a second gas directing means or at least one additional par if gas directing means.

Guibert teaches a food heating device in the same field of endeavor as Pool. In Guibert, the heating device includes a housing (10) with a heated area. Within the area is a compartment (14) that includes an arrangement of multiple pairs of gas/air directing means (holes in each of each of panels 14a-c, Fig. 3) that are arranged such that forced gas/air from a controlled blower passes through these means collide with each other (See Fig. 2)

Therefore, in regard to claims 4-6, 8, and 10-20, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the cooking oven of Pool to incorporate the multiple gas directing means of Guibert to create high velocity flows to rapidly transfer heat to a food item to be heated (see Guibert, col. 6, lines 42-56).

4. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pool in view of Guibert as applied to claim 4 and 8 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,060,701 to McKee et al. ("McKee").

Pool in view of Guibert teach all the limitations of claims 7 and 9 except for a damper means and possibly for a variable speed motor for the blower.

McKee teaches a speed cooking oven in the same field of endeavor as Pool. In McKee, it is recognized that a conduit (20) providing for the circulation of air (i.e. gas, see col. 3, lines 40-

Art Unit: 3749

42) may include a damper to modify the air flow through the conduit. McKee also discloses the use of a variable speed blower but notes that a damper also desirably serves to provide a similar effect as a variable speed blower when a fixed speed blower is employed (see col. 5, lines 55-59).

Therefore, in regard to claims 7 and 9, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the oven of Pool to incorporate the damper and variable speed blower as taught in McKee to desirably control the volume of air flower to provide the desired thermal energy for the cooking chamber (see McKee, col. 5, lines 50-59).

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Page 5

Art Unit: 3749

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,874,495("'495 patent") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,060,701 to McKee et al. ("McKee").

Claims 1-3 of the '495 patent describe the same invention as claims 1-3 of the instant application with the exception of the recitation of a damper means for adjusting the amount of gas delivered via conduit means to the gas directing means.

McKee teaches a speed cooking oven in the same field of endeavor as the '495 patent. In McKee, it is recognized that a conduit (20) providing for the circulation of air (i.e. gas, see col. 3, lines 40-42) may include a damper to modify the air flow through the conduit. This damper also desirably serves to provide a similar effect as a variable speed blower when a fixed speed blower is employed (see col. 5, lines 55-59).

Therefore, in regard to claims 1-3 it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the oven recited in claims 1-3 of the '495 patent to incorporate a damper as taught in McKee to regulate air/gas flow through a conduit as desired (see col. 5, lines 55-59).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,710 Page 6

Art Unit: 3749

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patents to Tanaka et al. and Smith ('911) are cited to further show the state of the art concerning colliding air flows. Smith et al. ('435) is cited to further show the state of the art concerning dampers in ovens.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Josiah Cocks whose telephone number is (571) 272-4874. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,710

Art Unit: 3749

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica Carter, can be reached at (571) 272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Any questions on access to the Private PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

jcc

August 12,2005

JOSIAH COCKS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3749